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In 3 sections of the same interview, children (N = 107, ages 3–8 years) were asked about body touches during previous medical examinations that included genital and anal touches for some children. First, in a free recall phase all children were asked to describe what had happened during the medical procedures. In the second and third sections they answered questions about body touches in 2 conditions, with body diagrams (BDs) and without body diagrams (no-BDs), with the order of conditions counterbalanced. Within each interview condition, the children answered cued-recall questions about touching and a set of recognition (yes-no) questions about touches to individual body parts. Cued recall with BDs elicited a greater number of correct sexual touch reports, but also more forensically relevant errors from the younger group. Cued-recall performance with BDs was largely identical to recognition performance without BDs. Taken together, the paucity of research on BDs and the current findings suggest 2 interim conclusions: (a) the use of BDs to elicit touch disclosures is not yet an evidence-based practice, and (b) there is a pressing need for research that examines promising approaches for encouraging accurate disclosures of abuse.


In two experiments, we investigated 3- to 5-year-old children’s ability to use dolls and human figure drawings as symbols to map body touches. In Experiment 1, stickers were placed on different locations of children’s bodies, and the children were asked to indicate the locations of the stickers using three different symbols: a doll, a human figure drawing, and the adult researcher. Performance on the tasks increased with age, but many 5-year-olds did not attain perfect performance. Surprisingly, younger children made more errors on the two-dimensional (2D) human figure drawing task compared with the three-dimensional (3D) doll and adult tasks. In Experiment 2, we compared children’s ability to use 3D and 2D symbols to indicate body touch
as well as to guide their search for a hidden object. We replicated the findings of Experiment 1 for the body touch task; for younger children, 3D symbols were easier to use than 2D symbols. However, the reverse pattern was found for the object locations task, with children showing superior performance using 2D drawings over 3D models. Although children showed developmental improvements in using dolls and drawings to show where they were touched, less than two thirds of the 5-year-olds performed perfectly on the touch tasks. Both developmental and forensic implications of these results are discussed. © 2015 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.


We examined the amount, accuracy, and consistency of information reported by 58 5- to 7-year-old children about a staged event that included physical contact/touching. Both 1 and 7 months following the event, children were asked both open and yes/no questions about touch [i] when provided with human body diagrams (HBDs), [ii] following instruction and practice using the HBDs, or [iii] without HBDs. Children interviewed with HBDs reported more information at 7 months, but a high proportion of inaccurate touches. Children seldom repeated touch-related information across the two interviews and did not incorporate errors made in the 1-month interview into their open-ended accounts 6 months later. Asking children to talk about innocuous touch may lead them to report unreliable information, especially when HBDs are used as aids and repeated interviews are conducted across delays that resemble those typical of forensic contexts. Copyright © 2011 John Wiley & Sons, Ltd


Two experiments examined the effectiveness of non-verbal interview aids as means of increasing the amount of information children report about an event under conditions designed to mimic their use in the field. In the first study, 27 5–7-year-old children took part in an event, and 7–10 days later were interviewed using the National Institute of Child Health and Human Development Protocol interview followed by an opportunity to draw the event or complete puzzles and, in turn, a second verbal interview. New information was reported following both drawing and puzzles and accuracy declined in both conditions, but drawing did not differentially influence recall. In the second experiment, dolls or human figure diagrams were introduced to clarify children's (N = 53) reports of touch as recommended in by some professionals, with a verbal interview serving as a control. Props did not increase the amount of information reported compared with best practice verbal techniques, but nor did they elevate errors. The findings support the use of a second recall attempt, but do not support the use of non-verbal aids, even when these are used following professional recommendations. Copyright © 2011 John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.


The purpose of the current study was to examine the effect of clothed and unclothed human figure drawings (HFDs) on children’s reports of touch. Eighty 4/5-year-olds and 80 9/10-year-olds participated in a staged event in which measurements of their body parts (e.g. waistline) were taken. Specifically, they were touched on 10 different locations. Immediately or three weeks after the event, they had to report where they had been touched. Half of the children received a clothed HFD while the other half was provided with an unclothed HFD to assist children in their recall. When we compared children’s recall before and after the presentation of a HFD, we found that clothed and unclothed HFDs significantly decreased the accuracy of children’s reports of touch. So, although children reported more correct touches after the presentation of a HFD, they were also more likely to include more incorrect information in their reports of touch.

This study compared two methods for questioning children about suspected abuse: standard interviewing and body-diagram-focused (BDF) interviewing, a style of interviewing in which interviewers draw on a flip board and introduce the topic of touching with a body diagram. Children (N=261) 4-9 years of age individually participated in science demonstrations during which half the children were touched two times. Months later, parents read stories to their children that described accurate and inaccurate information about the demonstrations. The stories for untouched children also contained inaccurate descriptions of touching. The children completed standard or BDF interviews, followed by source-monitoring questions. Interview format did not significantly influence (a) children's performance during early interview phases, (b) the amount of contextual information children provided about the science experience, or (c) memory source monitoring. The BDF protocol had beneficial and detrimental effects on touch reports: More children in the BDF condition reported experienced touching, but at the expense of an increased number of suggested and spontaneous false reports. The two props that are characteristic of BDF interviewing have different effects on testimonial accuracy. Recording answers on a flip board during presubstantive phases does not influence the quality of information that children provide. Body diagrams, however, suggest answers to children and elicit a concerning number of false reports. Until research identifies procedures and/or case characteristics associated with accurate reports of touching during diagram-assisted questioning, interviewers should initiate discussions about touching with open-ended questions delivered without a body diagram. Copyright © 2011 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.


The present study examined whether the use of human figure diagrams (HFDs) within a well-structured interview was associated with more elaborate and clearer accounts about physical contact that had occurred in the course of an alleged abuse. The sample included investigative interviews of 88 children ranging from 4 to 13 years of age. Children were interviewed using the NICHD investigative interview protocol, and were then asked a series of questions in association
with unclothed gender-neutral outline diagrams of a human body. A new coding scheme was developed to examine the types and clarity of touch-related information. Use of the HFDs was associated with reports of new touches not mentioned before and elaborations regarding the body parts reportedly touched. The HFDs especially helped clarify reports by the oldest rather than the youngest children. The clarity of children’s accounts of touch was also greater when details were sought using recall prompts. Copyright © 2009 John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.


In 2 studies, children ages 3 to 7 years were asked to recall a series of touches that occurred during a previous staged event. The recall interview took place 1 week after the event in Study 1 and immediately after the event in Study 2. Each recall interview had 2 sections: In 1 section, children were given human figure drawings (HFDs) and were asked to show where the touching took place; in the other section, the same questions were asked without the HFDs (verbal condition). Children were randomly assigned to 2 different conditions: HFD 1st/verbal 2nd or verbal 1st/HFD 2nd. There were 2 major findings. First, HFDs elicited more errors than the verbal condition when used to probe for information that the child had already been asked. Second, regardless of interview method, children had poor recall of the touches even when these occurred minutes before the interview. It is suggested that cognitive mechanisms involving memory and semantics underlie children’s poor recall of touching in both verbal and HFD conditions.


Forensic interviews and interview protocols are increasingly incorporating anatomical dolls, anatomical diagrams and/or drawings, as methods of enhancing a child’s disclosure. Various types of drawings can be used by the child, the interviewer or both at various stages of the interview process. Drawings are helpful for a variety of reasons, including assessment, investigation and potential prosecution. This article suggests several reasons to use drawings in forensic interviews,
several types of drawings that may be helpful, and uses for drawings that would be inappropriate in a forensic setting.


Many people have expressed concerns with utilizing anatomical diagrams in forensic interviews, citing issues of suggestibility in the interview process, traumatization of the child witness, or lack of evidence supporting the use of anatomical diagrams to enhance children’s reports. This article clarifies some of the purposes of utilizing anatomical diagrams in a forensic interview for child abuse investigators and prosecutors and demonstrates the efficacy of anatomical diagrams in facilitating communication with children.


The authors examined the accuracy of information elicited from seventy-nine 5- to 7-year-old children about a staged event that included physical contact—touching. Four to six weeks later, children’s recall for the event was assessed using an interview protocol analogous to those used in forensic investigations with children. Following the verbal interview, children were asked about touch when provided with human figure drawings (drawings only), following practice using the human figure drawings (drawings with instruction), or without drawings (verbal questions only). In this touch-inquiry phase of the interview, most children provided new information. Children in the drawings conditions reported more incorrect information than those in the verbal questions condition. Forensically relevant errors were infrequent and were rarely elaborated on. Although asking children to talk about innocuous touch may lead them to report unreliable information, especially when human figure drawings are used as aids, errors are reduced when open-ended prompts are used to elicit further information about reported touches.

In two experiments, we assessed children's ability to use body maps to report where they were touched. Five- to 6-year-old children participated in a contrived event and were interviewed either immediately or after a delay. Overall, children's reports were incomplete and inaccurate. We conclude that body maps do not facilitate children's reports of touch and should not be used in clinical or legal interviews with children of this age. Copyright © 2006 John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.


Ninety 4- to 13-year-old alleged victims of sexual abuse were interviewed by police officers using the National Institute of Child Health and Human Development (NICHD) investigative interview protocol, following which they were shown a human figure drawing and asked a series of questions. The drawing and associated questions elicited an average of 86 new forensically relevant details. They were especially productive with 4- to 7-year-olds, who provided an average of 95 additional details (27% of their total) after the drawing was introduced despite having previously “exhausted” their memories. Information elicited using the drawing may be less accurate, however, because recognition memory prompts predominated, so such drawings should only be introduced late in investigative interviews.
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In two experiments, we investigated 3- to 5-year-old children’s ability to use dolls and human figure drawings as symbols to map body touches. In Experiment 1, stickers were placed on different locations of children’s bodies, and the children were asked to indicate the locations of the stickers using three different symbols: a doll, a human figure drawing, and the adult researcher. Performance on the tasks increased with age, but many 5-year-olds did not attain perfect performance. Surprisingly, younger children made more errors on the two-dimensional (2D) human figure drawing task compared with the three-dimensional (3D) doll and adult tasks. In Experiment 2, we compared children’s ability to use 3D and 2D symbols to indicate body touch as well as to guide their search for a hidden object. We replicated the findings of Experiment 1 for the body touch task; for younger children, 3D symbols were easier to use than 2D symbols. However, the reverse pattern was found for the object locations task, with children showing superior performance using 2D drawings over 3D models. Although children showed developmental improvements in using dolls and drawings to show where they were touched, less than two thirds of the 5-year-olds performed perfectly on the touch tasks. Both developmental and forensic implications of these results are discussed. © 2015 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.


Investigative interviewers frequently question alleged victims of child sexual abuse about any touching or bodily contact that might have occurred. In the present study of forensic interviews with 192 alleged sexual abuse victims, between 4 and 13 years of age, we examined the frequency with which alleged victims reported bodily contact as “touch” and the types of prompts associated with “touch” reports. Even young alleged victims of sexual abuse reported bodily contact as “touch,” and they used the word “touch” more frequently in response to recall than recognition
prompts. Regardless of age, children typically referred to “touch” before interviewers used this term, suggesting that even young children are able to report “touch” without being cued by interviewers.


The objectives of this study were to determine the extent to which anatomical dolls are employed in interviews with children, and to examine which functional uses of the dolls are employed during the interview. Specific types of concerning practices, both verbal and behavioral, and variables of child gender and age relative to the use of anatomical dolls as a prop during interviews were also examined. Five functional uses of anatomical dolls were identified based on reviews of 20 interviewing guidelines. Ninety-seven videotapes of actual Child Protective Services investigation child interviews with 52 children ages 2 to 5 years and 45 children ages 6 to 12 years were transcribed and coded for analysis. Results indicated that anatomical model and demonstration aid were the most common doll uses, whereas the most common concerning practice was to introduce the dolls as a demonstration before sufficiently encouraging the child's verbal account. Practices such as posing the dolls in sexual positions or overinterpreting the child's doll behavior were very rarely observed.


Two experiments examined the effectiveness of non-verbal interview aids as means of increasing the amount of information children report about an event under conditions designed to mimic their use in the field. In the first study, 27 5–7-year-old children took part in an event, and 7–10 days
later were interviewed using the National Institute of Child Health and Human Development Protocol interview followed by an opportunity to draw the event or complete puzzles and, in turn, a second verbal interview. New information was reported following both drawing and puzzles and accuracy declined in both conditions, but drawing did not differentially influence recall. In the second experiment, dolls or human figure diagrams were introduced to clarify children's (N = 53) reports of touch as recommended in by some professionals, with a verbal interview serving as a control. Props did not increase the amount of information reported compared with best practice verbal techniques, but nor did they elevate errors. The findings support the use of a second recall attempt, but do not support the use of non-verbal aids, even when these are used following professional recommendations. Copyright © 2011 John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.


This study identifies (1) the importance of using the Child Sexual Abuse Interview Protocol for multiple disciplines to obtain detailed information of what the alleged child victims say and claim, and (2) the concurrence of multiple professionals about the relevance of items in the protocol in their practice. A survey with 100 items based on the Child Sexual Abuse Interview Protocol was self-administered by 36 professionals working at a child advocacy center including administrators, attorneys, child advocates, support persons, physicians, police, psychologists, and social workers. These respondents unanimously felt it was very important for interviewers to complete two specific items during the course of an investigative interview: “showing the interviewer is listening to the child” and “showing patience with the child.” As indicated by the average rating scores, 89 items were perceived between very important and important, and nine items as somewhat important. No item on this scale was rated as doesn’t matter or unimportant. Social workers and police officers did not differ significantly in their ratings of the importance of these items. Both quantitative and qualitative results support four major considerations when using an interview guide: 1) flexibility in opening and closing the interview, 2) professional and appropriate use of the anatomical dolls, 3) assessment of the age and mental state of the child, and 4) determination of the order of questions based on severity of incidents. This study provides data to identify the
importance of using a comprehensive interview protocol for multidisciplinary professionals who work with alleged victims of child sexual abuse. The use of these 100 items will enhance the effectiveness of conducting a onetime interview to avoid repeated interviews. This study that was originally aimed at comparing differences among professionals has, in fact, demonstrated the similarities across disciplines, as agreement among various professional groups was substantial. This finding dissolves the myth that multiple disciplines brought forth diverse opinions and instead encourages the “working together” concept of a team.


Given that most cases of child sexual abuse lack external corroborating evidence, children’s verbal accounts of their experiences are of paramount importance to investigators. Forensic interviewers are charged with interviewing child victims and oftentimes use anatomical dolls. Yet, research on dolls has not caught up to practice in the field. Using a multi-method approach, this study presents new evidence on the function and value of using anatomical dolls as a demonstration aid. With a standardized protocol, forensic interviewers from an urban Midwestern Children’s Advocacy Center evaluated the purpose and value of anatomical dolls in a forensic setting. Relationships between child characteristics and interviewer-perceived value were examined using descriptive, bivariate findings and case examples. Using a large and diverse sample of children, the study found that forensic interviewers perceived children as able and willing to use dolls for purposes of clarification, consistency, distancing, and communication. Results are discussed in the context of real-world applications and best practices and provide an evidence-based foundation for future research.

Many researchers and interviewers have become disenchanted with the practice of using anatomically detailed (AD) dolls during forensic investigations, yet there is still support for doll-assisted interviews. This comment discusses five major concerns about AD dolls, involving child-related and interviewer-related factors. The research findings suggest that individuals who advocate for AD dolls bear the burden of proving that dolls are the best alternative for eliciting information about personally-experienced events from children.


This article examines anatomical dolls in interviews of children who may have been sexually abused from three perspectives. The article summarizes research findings on anatomical dolls, discusses advantages and disadvantages of using them, and describes endorsed doll uses. Although additional, ecologically-valid research is needed on anatomical dolls, the selective use of anatomical dolls, as communication aids, when interviewing children who may be reluctant or unable to describe sexual abuse is warranted.


The use of anatomically detailed dolls in forensic investigations of sexual abuse is a controversial practice. The objections to the use of the dolls are reviewed and discussed in light of empirical evidence. Although the use of anatomically detailed dolls does not appear to elicit sexualized behavior in non-referred children, it is not clear that the dolls facilitate accurate recall of past events in children younger than age 5. Young children’s understanding of symbolic relations is considered in interpreting age differences in existing research on the usefulness of anatomically detailed dolls. Although it appears that the dolls facilitate accurate recall in children ages 5 and older, the
differences between experimental and forensic settings make it difficult to draw firm conclusions about the usefulness of the dolls in forensic investigations.


The impact of anatomical dolls on reports provided by 3- to 12-year-old alleged sexual abuse victims (N 178) was examined. Children produced as many details in response to open-ended invitations with and without the dolls. In response to directive questions, the 3- to 6-year-olds were more likely to reenact behaviorally than to report verbally, whereas the 7- to 12-year-olds produced more verbal details than enactments when using the dolls. With the dolls, the younger children were more likely than the older children to play suggestively and to contradict details provided without the dolls, whereas the older children were more likely to provide details that were consistent. Children in both age groups produced proportionally more fantastic details with the dolls than without the dolls.


Should anatomical dolls be used in child sexual abuse forensic interviews? It is a question that each multidisciplinary team of investigative professionals must ask and answer. Multidisciplinary teams should make the decision by considering current case law or state statutes, peer reviewed research, and experts' experience. This is a much better approach than relying on preconceived ideas. In Hennepin County, Minnesota the answer to the question has been a resounding "yes." The forensic interviewers of CornerHouse, the County's child abuse evaluation and training center, have found anatomical dolls to be an effective tool in the interviewing of child sexual abuse victims. The debate over the pros and cons of anatomical dolls has been fully explored elsewhere. This article is intended for jurisdictions using or considering the use of anatomical dolls, and is written in the hope of encouraging the forensically sound use of dolls.

A non-representative sample of 27 investigative interviews with suspected victims of child sexual abuse (CSA) in Finland were analyzed. Aspects such as the effects of interview phase, repeated interviewing, another (related) person attending the interview, as well as the use of anatomically detailed (AD) dolls were considered. The number of new details reported by the child was higher in the beginning, while the number of focused and suggestive question types increased towards the end of the interviews. The results of repeated interviewing were mixed: repeated interviews contained more words and descriptive answers by the child, while, however, also containing more suggestive questions. Another person attending the interview was found to be associated with the child being less informative and the interviewer posing more suggestive questions than when another person was not present. Similar effects were found to be associated with the use of AD dolls. The implications of the findings for child abuse investigations were discussed.


The influence of anatomically detailed dolls on 3- and 4-year-old children's reports of a routine medical exam was examined. During the exam, half the children received a genital examination and half the children did not. Immediately after the exam, all children were asked to demonstrate various events on an anatomically detailed doll and on their own bodies. Although there were age differences in the number of accurate demonstrations of how the doctor used different instruments, there were no age differences on measures that assessed reports of genital touching. Among girls, commission errors were more frequent when dolls were used because some children falsely showed insertions into the anal or genital cavity. The authors conclude that dolls should not be used in interviews with children below the age of 5 years.


The study aimed to examine: (1) the variability of interview practice among professionals who interview children to investigate suspected sexual abuse; (2) the relationship between interview practice and respondent characteristics; (3) the characteristics of interviewers who used the anatomically correct dolls in the course of their interviews. Sixty investigatory interviewers completed questionnaires focusing on professional background, training, and interview practice. Interview practice varied considerably and some practices were at odds with the recommendations of the literature. Most of this variability was not accounted for by the respondent variables examined. Where there was evidence for an association, the interviewers' professional background, number of interviews conducted in the previous year, and whether or not they used the anatomically correct dolls appeared to influence practice, whereas general training and specific training in child sexual abuse had no significant effect. Only a minority (36%) used the
anatomically correct dolls and none of the interviewer characteristics evaluated differentiated them from non doll-users. In this sample interview practices varied considerably and did not appear to be influenced by the interviewer's specific or general training. Further research is needed to focus on the comparative effectiveness of different interview techniques and the comparative effectiveness of different training programs in influencing interviewing practice.


To see if unabused children with externalizing behavior disorders display more sexual behaviors and verbalizations than other children in their interactions with anatomically detailed dolls, sixteen 3- to 6-year-olds were compared with 44 nonexternalizing controls. A five-phase structured, detailed interview, and comprehensive coding of videotapes by external raters, was completed on 17 behaviors and verbalizations. As would be expected, externalizing children exhibited more overall activity than non-externalizing children. Also, externalizing children exhibited more behavioral sexual aggression during the body inventory phase, but no other differences in sexual behaviors or verbalizations occurred. Results suggest that most normative data on AD dolls generalize to externalizing children.


A comprehensive review of the use of anatomical dolls reveals three areas of research: (1) normative studies (with no known history of sexual abuse) of children's interactions with anatomical dolls; (2) comparative studies of children suspected of being victims of sexual abuse, and those believed not to be; and (3) the role anatomical dolls play in the identification of a child
who has been sexually abused. The results of empirical studies in each area are mixed and inconsistent. However, there is general clinical support for the use of the anatomical doll as a demonstration aid during forensic interviewing with children over 3 years of age.


This study examined socioeconomic status (SES) and ethnic differences in the responses of 68 nonabused preschoolers during an anatomically detailed (AD) doll interview. In 9% of the observation intervals, children demonstrated behaviors that professionals have often associated with sexual abuse. Of these behaviors, children were more likely to explore dolls' sexual body parts, avoid the dolls, and display aggression with the dolls. They were least likely to display sexual aggression, sexualized behavior, and affection with the dolls. Low-SES, African American children were more likely to demonstrate sexualized behavior with the dolls. However, the independent contributions of race and SES were difficult to determine due to limited access to low-SES White children. These results underscore the need for caution in the interpretation of AD doll interviews with all preschoolers, but particularly those from a low-SES, African American background.


Although many props are used in child assessments to facilitate communication, none are as hotly debated as the use of anatomical dolls in forensic evaluations of child sexual abuse. This article examines two arguments against doll use—that their efficacy as interview aids is unproven and that they are sexually suggestive. It also offers a methodological critique of existing studies of anatomical dolls and argues that because of design limitations, these studies have minimal
generalizability to actual forensic practice. This article suggests a number of design features for future research on anatomical dolls to increase the forensic relevance and utility in guiding practice. © 1997 John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.


Tested a model of children's memory for a stressful event. The model takes into account the interrelations among children's age, parents' attachment style, and children's knowledge and stress as predictors of memory accuracy. The type of memory test was varied to examine age differences in memory performance and suggestibility, and to explore whether the use of anatomical dolls and props facilitates children's memory reports. 46 3–10 year olds were observed undergoing voiding cystourethrogram fluoroscopy, and their memory was later tested. Anatomical dolls and props elicited more correct information than did free recall from older children; however, memories elicited via dolls and props increased incorrect responses for the youngest children. Most children explicitly revealed genital contact in anatomical-doll demonstration but not in free recall. Path analysis supported the model: parental attachment scores and children's age were significantly related to children's level of distress during the medical procedure and errors in children's memory reports. Greater knowledge of the medical test, independent of age, was predictive of memory accuracy. Implications of these findings for understanding children's memory for traumatic events are discussed.


This research provides information on how frontline interviewers actually use anatomical dolls and the types and frequencies of concerning practices attributable to doll use. Based on reviews of 20 interviewing guidelines, five functional uses of anatomical dolls were identified (comforter, icebreaker, anatomical model, demonstration aid, and diagnostic screen), and the frequency of these uses of the dolls and associated concerning practices was documented. Videotaped interviews of 97 children involved in child sexual abuse investigations were obtained representing two age categories: 2 to 5 years and 6 to 12 years. The videotapes and their verbatim transcriptions were used in coding. Anatomical model and demonstration aid were the most common doll uses, whereas the most common concerning practice was to introduce the dolls as a demonstration aid before sufficiently encouraging the child's verbal account. The practices that might be considered most egregious, such as the interviewer posing the dolls in sexual positions or over-interpreting the child's doll behavior, were very rarely observed.


Explores the consistency of 20 children's behaviors toward anatomical dolls in two studies conducted 16 months apart. In the follow-up study, findings revealed that changes in reaction to the dolls occurred in all groups over time. These changes might be explained by cultural, maturational, and socialization factors. Implications for interviewing children are discussed.


This article provides a historical perspective on the practice of interviewing children in cases of alleged sexual abuse and current controversies about these interviews. The following controversies and related writing and research are discussed: (a) the ability of the interviewer to conduct a competent interview, (b) the competence of the child to describe actual events, (c) interview structure and process, and (d) decision making about the likelihood of sexual abuse.


Verbal and nonverbal responses by alleged victims of child sexual abuse were coded for length, amount of information, and the manner in which they were elicited by the interviewer. In 16 of the interviews, anatomical dolls were employed for the purposes of demonstration, whereas they were not used in another eight cases matched with respect to other characteristics of the children and the alleged events. Children interviewed with dolls provided an equivalent number of details and spoke as many words in the substantive portion of the interview as did children interviewed without dolls, and interviewers in the two groups used similar probes to elicit information. However, the average responses by the children were significantly longer and more detailed when dolls were not used. Children gave longer and more detailed responses to open-ended invitations when dolls were not used. Caution is necessary when interpreting these findings. Copyright © 1996 Elsevier Science Ltd.
The purpose of this investigation was to compare the amount and accuracy of details provided in the eyewitness accounts of preschool-aged children interviewed exclusively with a verbal interview against those interviewed with anatomically-neutral dolls in addition to a verbal interview. Forty-four children, aged 4 to 6 years, were paired up and assigned as participants or observers for an event they engaged in with a confederate. Children's memory was assessed afterwards by (a) The Step-Wise Interview (Yuille, Hunter, Joffe, & Zaparniuk, 1993); (b) the Step-Wise Interview and big dolls; or (c) The Step-Wise Interview and small, detailed dolls and props. Three leading questions were incorporated into the interviews. Results indicated no main effect of interview type on the overall amount or accuracy of the children's accounts. No main effects for interview type or participant versus observer condition were observed for the leading questions. Relative to 4-year-olds, 5-year-olds recalled a greater number of overall details and were more accurate in their accounts with both types of dolls. Females were more accurate than males in their accounts with the small detailed toys and props. Implications for the use of anatomically-neutral dolls in child sexual abuse investigations are discussed.


The purposes of this article are to present the substantive results of anatomically detailed (A/D) doll studies, to critically evaluate the methodology used in A/D doll research, and to suggest directions for future research. This review generally shows that play with A/D dolls is not traumatizing to children.


Produced by the APSAC Task Force on the Use of Anatomical Dolls in Child Sexual Abuse Assessments, chaired by Mark D. Everson, PhD and John E. B. Myers, JD. In addition to a summary of research findings, how to interpret behavior with dolls, the efficacy of anatomical dolls, and inappropriate uses are covered.


The introduction of the Memorandum of Good Practice on interviewing children who have been sexually abused has had an important unifying effect on professional practice. However, because of the traumatic nature of sexual abuse there will be a group of children about whom there are high levels of suspicion and where arrangements need to be made for their future care, protection and treatment. These include children who have learning disabilities or communication problems, have psychiatric disorders associated with abuse, or where there have been considerable delays since allegations were first made. Such children require a second-stage facilitative assessment interview. These include different forms of questioning, and the use of a wide range of prompting materials including art work, free and structured play context and the use of anatomically correct dolls. These approaches are reviewed and illustrated through case studies.


Anatomically detailed dolls' influence on the accuracy of 3-year-old children's reports of a routine medical exam was assessed. During the exam, half of the children received a genital examination and half did not. Immediately after the exam, the children were asked to demonstrate various events on an anatomically correct doll and on their own bodies. In 2 studies, children's accuracy in reporting certain events was the same in the doll condition and in the body condition. Children were inaccurate in reporting genital touching, regardless of how they were questioned and
regardless of whether they had received a genital examination. The dolls increased inaccurate
reporting because some children falsely showed that the doctor had inserted a finger into the anal
or genital cavity. The results indicate that anatomically detailed dolls should not be used in forensic
or therapeutic interviews with 3-year-old children.

In *Jeopardy in the courtroom: A scientific analysis of children’s testimony* (pp. 161-186).

A very common practice in the investigation of suspected sexual abuse is to use anatomically
detailed dolls to interview children. The use of such dolls is particularly advocated for very young
children. For a doll to be useful, however, children must accept and use it as a representation of
themselves. Our previous research on 2- and 3-year-old children's understanding of symbolic
objects led us to hypothesize that such very young children might have difficulty understanding
and using a doll as a self-representation. In the study reported here, 2-1/2-, 3-, and 4-year-old
children played some games with an experimenter, and they were interviewed immediately
afterward. The children did, as expected, have difficulty using the doll as a self-representation and
mapping from themselves to the doll. As a consequence, they provided more correct information
in their direct (verbal and nonverbal) responses to the interviewer's questions than they
demonstrated on the doll. Implications and limitations of this research are discussed with respect
to interviewing young children.


The accuracy of children's reports of alleged sexual abuse during interviews with anatomically correct dolls is the focus of considerable controversy. This study used an analog experience to measure empirically the accuracy of reports in a relevant, but controlled setting: the forensic medical examination for suspected sexual abuse. Twenty-one 3- to 7-year-old children were interviewed about what occurred during previous examinations with open-ended questions, open-ended questions with anatomically correct dolls, and direct questions with the dolls. Children provided significantly more accurate reports and fewer omissions with direct questions using the dolls compared with either of the two open-ended sections, but there was no significant difference in the number of false reports across the three sections of the interview. These results suggest that anatomically correct dolls may bolster the recall of children's memory in the setting of direct questions without prompting false reports.


Many devices are used in child assessment and treatment as communication aids, projective tools, and symbolic means of interaction. None are as hotly debated in their application among mental health professionals as dolls with genital details. Anatomically detailed (AD) dolls are often used in sexual-abuse evaluation and treatment with children, but such applications are controversial. This article is the product of a working group formed to review AD doll research and practice. This article reviews historical use of dolls in clinical inquiry and research on sexual behaviors in children, normative use of AD dolls in non-referred children, differences in children's play behavior and emotional reactions to AD dolls, and memory and suggestibility issues relating to AD-doll use. Recommendations for future research are provided.

Examined interrater reliability of information obtained during child sexual abuse assessments using a clinical assessment interview protocol featuring anatomic dolls and patterns of disclosure and doll demonstration across subject's age, gender, and case outcome. Results suggest specific areas that tend to be ambiguous and areas that may be more dependent on interviewer experience.


The contributions of age, gender, race, and socioeconomic status (SES) to differences in nonreferred (i.e., presumably nonabused) preschool-aged children's interactions with anatomical dolls were explored. Significant age findings included a decrease with age in manual exploration, an increase in showing doll-to-doll kissing and an increase in demonstrating suggestive intercourse between the dolls. Although 2-year-olds were active in undressing the dolls and exploring the genitals, anus, and breasts, no 2-year-old displayed any sexualized behaviors with the dolls. Six percent (6%) of the children demonstrated clear intercourse positioning. Only low SES black males, ages 4 and 5, demonstrated clear intercourse positioning when the interviewer was present in the room. However, such demonstrations were seen across gender and race and SES when the children were left alone with the dolls. The implications of the findings for evaluators who interview children using anatomical dolls are discussed.


Through an extensive review of guidelines and protocols on the use of anatomical dolls in sexual abuse evaluations, seven functional uses of the dolls were identified: Comforter, Icebreaker, Anatomical Model, Demonstration Aid, Memory Stimulus, Diagnostic Screen, and Diagnostic Test. These functional uses are discussed in light of several criticisms that have been raised about
the use of anatomical dolls in sexual abuse evaluations. The relevancy of these criticisms is shown to vary greatly by doll use. As a result, the authors argue that any critique of anatomical dolls must consider the specific function the dolls serve in the evaluation. Although there seem to be widespread perceptions in both lay and professional circles that young children's behavior with the dolls is commonly used to make definitive diagnoses of sexual abuse (Diagnostic Test Use), such a use of the dolls was not endorsed by any of the guidelines reviewed and is open to significant criticism. The most common criticisms of the dolls, that they are overly suggestive to young, sexually naive children, is not supported by available research. Finally, the continued, informed use of anatomical dolls in sexual abuse evaluations of young children is strongly supported.


We presented participants with syndromal, witness credibility, or anatomically detailed doll evidence to determine (a) whether these different types of expert evidence exert differential influence on participants’ judgments and (b) whether the influence of this evidence could be better explained by the relative scientific status or the probabilistic qualities of the research presented. Additionally, we investigated whether a strong or weak cross-examination of the expert would be more successful in discrediting the information provided in the expert's testimony. Findings suggest that participants are less influenced by expert testimony based on probability data (i.e., syndromal evidence) than by expert testimony based on case history data (i.e., credibility or anatomically detailed doll evidence). Participant responses did not differ as a function of the strength of the cross-examination of the expert. As expected, women were more likely to respond in a pro-prosecution direction than were men. Implications for the use of expert evidence in child sexual abuse cases are discussed.

The use of anatomical dolls to assess for child sexual abuse remains controversial. The goal of this literature review was to investigate two aspects of this controversy: (1) Are anatomical dolls valid tools to assess child sexual abuse? and (2) What progress has been made towards the development of an anatomical doll interview that is objective and standardized? In regard to validity, the empirical data suggest that children who have been referred for sexual abuse respond differently to anatomical dolls than nonabused children. Furthermore, considerable progress has been made towards the development of an objective assessment protocol and reliable scoring criteria.


The use of sexually anatomically detailed (SAD) dolls in the assessment of child sexual abuse is reviewed, and research which provides evidence relevant to the psychometric properties of SAD dolls with this population is examined. There are a number of unanswered questions concerning the reliability, standardization of administration procedures, adequacy of norms, criterion-oriented validity, incremental validity, external validity, and mechanisms by which this assessment method works, as well as underlying theory predicting differential responding of sexually abused children to SAD dolls. Recommendations for future research are given.

Age differences in children's recall of salient experiences have frequently been documented, but these findings have routinely been based on studies in which verbal interviews have been employed. Because verbal interview protocols may underestimate the memory of young children, the purpose of this research was to compare the effectiveness of such an interview with two alternative protocols that involved the use of a doll. Using these contrasting protocols, 3-and 5-year-old children were asked to remember the details of a routine physical examination. Neither doll protocol facilitated 3-year-olds' recall of the features of the check-up. In contrast, 5-year-olds who were asked to demonstrate with a doll what happened in their examination showed enhanced recall. The inclusion of a doll had no effect on older or younger children's provision of elaborative detail about their visits to the doctor. Various measures of individual differences (e.g. temperament, language skill) predicted some aspects of the children's recall and elaboration. The findings are discussed in terms of the cognitive skills necessary for effective use of dolls in the assessment process, and are related to problems associated with interviewing young children who are involved in legal proceedings.


Although anatomically detailed dolls are becoming the most commonly used tool in the validation of sexual abuse allegations, their use is not without significant problems. This evaluation of the psychometric properties of the dolls using the existing empirical literature reveals that there is considerable difficulty in the standardization of the dolls, administration and scoring procedures, and training in the dolls' use. Furthermore, appropriate norms have not been developed. Although good interobserver reliability has been reported, the construct and criterion-related validity has not been demonstrated consistently. The current lack of an empirically based psychometric foundation does not support the use of the dolls in validation interviews, nor the admissibility of doll-based evidence in legal proceedings.
In child sexual abuse investigations, anatomically detailed dolls (ADDs) are used frequently with the intention of facilitating expression or demonstration in children who do not disclose abuse verbally. We review and critique research that examines the play of nonabused children with ADDs, compares the ADD play of abused children with that of nonabused children, or compares ADD-aided interviews with interviews using other data-gathering methods. We conclude that the APA Council of Representatives' recent statement (February 8, 1991) endorsing the use of ADDs by certain examiners and the current research on this topic are incompatible.


The behaviors and verbalizations of normal, preschool age boys and girls during interactions with sexually anatomically detailed (SAD) dolls were examined. A structured, nonsuggestive interview with each child was videotaped, and five behaviors and five verbalizations were coded for each of five phases of the interview. A two-way ANOVA (gender × phase) showed that during those phases when the dolls were undressed, both boys and girls showed more sexual exploratory play, aggressive behavior which was nonsexual, and active avoidance. Across all phases, nonsexual aggression accounted for 31% of the boys' and 22% of the girls' total responses. Behavioral and verbal sexual exploratory play represented 42% of the boys' responses and 50% of the girls' responses. In contrast, sexually aggressive behavior accounted for only 1% and 2% of the boys' and girls' responses, respectively. Girls interacted with the dolls more than did boys. Additionally, girls demonstrated more affection to the dolls than did the boys, and boys displayed more anxiety with the dolls than did girls. Investigators should be cautious as to inferences about preschoolers'
interactions with SAD dolls, especially interactions of a sexual or aggressive nature which do not clearly depict fondling or intercourse.


Do professionals have a consistent standard of what constitutes normal behavior with anatomical dolls? To answer this question, 201 professionals who work with child sexual abuse victims were asked to rate the normalcy of various behaviors with the dolls for nonabused children ages 2 to 5.9 years. The majority of respondents agreed that overtly sexual behaviors, such as demonstrating oral-genital contact or vaginal intercourse, were abnormal for nonabused children. For less obvious behaviors, such as touching the sex parts of dolls, there was more disagreement among professionals about what these behaviors mean. The ratings of these ambiguous behaviors varied depending on profession of the respondent, gender of the respondent, and number of years of experience. Law enforcement professionals, women, and those with the least amount of experience were more likely to view ambiguous behaviors as abnormal. These findings are discussed in the context of past research, with suggestions for future studies.


Anatomical dolls are a widely used but controversial tool for interviewing child victims of sexual abuse. The present research examines how a representative sample of professionals who evaluate children actually use the dolls. Contrary to past reports, the results revealed that 96.6% of professionals who use the dolls had received training in anatomical doll use, 77.8% followed some standard protocol for interviewing, and 97.3% had at least 1 year of experience with anatomical dolls. The results also revealed that the majority of professionals did not engage in the "leading" behaviors of presenting unclothed dolls to children or undressing the dolls for the child, again contrary to past reports. The present research indicates that the professionals in this sample are more experienced and better trained than is typically thought.

This study was designed to define clinicians' ratings of the comments, behaviors, and affects of abused children and compare them with the same clinicians' decisions about the child’s abuse status. The authors concluded that sexually anatomically correct dolls used alone are inadequate in providing enough information for professionals to accurately assess the abuse status of young children. Also it is unclear what observations of the child by mental health professionals are best correlated with their determinations of a child’s abuse status. Of concern was the finding that the mental health professionals were more likely to be in agreement with the interviewer's determination of abuse than with the actual status of the child, suggesting undue influence of the interviewer, or, alternatively, both observer and interviewer were responding to unidentified child factors.


Controversy exists regarding use of anatomically detailed dolls in child sexual abuse evaluations because of concerns that such dolls may provoke false positive demonstrations of sexually explicit behavior. This study shows that children referred for medical evaluation of sexual abuse will use sexually explicit behavior to demonstrate what has happened to them with nonanatomical dolls as frequently as when they are interviewed with anatomically detailed ones. Over a two-year period, 136 children (aged 24 months to 10 years) were interviewed by the same pediatric interviewer. During the first year sexually anatomically detailed dolls (SAD) were used, and in the subsequent year nonanatomic dolls (NAD) were used. Data was analyzed according to age, sex, and demonstration of sexually explicit behavior. There were 67 children in the NAD group and 69 in the SAD group. Of the NAD group, 72% showed sexually explicit behavior compared to 68% in the SAD group. Comparisons using chi-square analysis revealed no significant differences
between NAD and SAD. Results indicated that in the sexual abuse interview setting, use of sexually detailed dolls did not increase children's use of sexually explicit behavior to describe what had happened to them when compared to use of nonanatomical dolls, and that use of either type of doll provides similar information in the interview setting.


Anatomical dolls are used to facilitate children's explanations of sexual abuse. The goal of this study was to identify whether children referred for evaluation of possible sexual abuse would react differently to dolls than would non-referred controls matched on sex, race, and age. A sample of 35 two- through six-year-olds referred to a hospital child abuse clinic were compared to 35 controls. After a rapport-building exercise, each child played under four conditions in a specially prepared room. Two coders, unaware of the child's group membership, viewed each videotaped session and completed separate behavioral checklists. Six conclusions resulted: (1) anatomical dolls did not create undue anxiety; (2) careful interpretation of sexualized play with dolls is needed; (3) dolls did not overstimulate and cause demonstration of sexual activity; (4) sexual abuse could not be diagnosed solely on the basis of doll play; (5) children in both groups inserted their fingers into doll openings; and (6) practitioners must rely on supporting verbal and physical evidence when making the determination of possible sexual abuse. More training and comfort with anatomical dolls and knowledge of normal child development principles are needed by examiners.


Using anatomical dolls, the play behaviors of nine sexually abused preschool children (five males, four females), ranging in age from 3 to 5 years, were compared with nine preschool children for whom there was no suspicion of sexual abuse and who were matched on the basis of age, gender, race, family status, and socioeconomic status. There was no significant difference between the two groups on explicit sexual behavior (vaginal, oral, and anal intercourse with thrusting motions
between the dolls or between the child and the dolls and masturbation by the child). The groups were significantly \( t(8)=2.19, \ p\ .05; \ \text{Wilcoxon}\ \text{W}=6, \ p\ .05 \) different when behaviors with suspicious sexual implication were combined with explicit sexual behaviors. There were no differences between the groups on measures of nonsexual behavior. The occurrence of the suspicious sexual behaviors is discussed and reviews of previous doll research and physical evidence of child sexual abuse are provided.


Anatomical dolls commonly are used to assess allegations of child sexual abuse. Such assessments are based largely on interviews with children and observations of how they play with and handle the dolls. Several recent court decisions have questioned the reliability and validity of anatomical dolls as an assessment and evidentiary tool. This article reviews the literature on the use of anatomical dolls and highlights issues concerning their diagnostic and forensic efficacy. The authors identify and discuss implications of the use of these dolls for social work practice and research.


The use of anatomically detailed (AD) dolls is widespread, especially with young children who have been, or may have been, sexually abused. A number of empirical studies have compared the responses of sexually abused and non-abused children to AD dolls. Additional research has assessed AD doll play among non-abused children only. Methodological limitations notwithstanding, virtually all empirical data support the use of AD dolls for data gathering in cases of sexual abuse.

Evaluation of child sexual abuse often necessitates interviewing children about genital touch, yet little scientific research exists on how best to obtain children's reports of genital contact. To examine this issue, 72 five- and seven-year-old girls experienced a standardized medical checkup. For half of the children, the checkup included a vaginal and anal examination (genital condition); for the other half, the checkup included a scoliosis examination instead (nongenital condition). The children's memories were later solicited through free recall, anatomically detailed doll demonstration, and direct and misleading questions. The majority of children in the genital condition revealed vaginal and anal contact only when asked directly about it. Children in the nongenital condition never falsely reported genital touch in free recall or doll demonstration; when asked directly, the false report rate was low. Significant age differences in free recall and doll demonstration, found only in the nongenital condition, implicated socioemotional factors as suppressing the reports of older children who experienced genital contact.


Allegations have been made that anatomical dolls have genitalia which are disproportionately large and which may suggest sexual activity to children who have not been abused. The genitalia and breasts of 17 sets of anatomical dolls were measured. When the measurements were extrapolated to adult human proportions, the sizes of the genitalia and breasts were not found to be exaggerated.


Children of three to five years who had not been sexually abused were exposed to anatomical dolls. The three- to four-year-old age group showed an increased awareness of sexual body parts, while the older group did not. These findings are considered to be useful for professionals who wish to obtain parental approval for similar studies.

The use of anatomical dolls in sexual abuse evaluations remains controversial because of concerns that the dolls induce normal, non-abused children to act out in sexual ways that are likely to be misinterpreted as evidence of sexual abuse. This study examines the incidence of explicit sexual doll play in a large, demographically diverse sample of 2- to 5-year-olds. The 6% incidence of demonstrations of apparent sexual intercourse found in this sample compared favorably with the rate of less than 2% across prior studies of anatomical doll play among presumably non-abused children. However, higher rates of explicit sexual play were associated with being older, poor, black, and somewhat with being male, with over 20% of some subgroups of children displaying such behavior. These results are interpreted as evidence that anatomical dolls are not overly suggestive to young, sexually naive children, but are useful in assessing sexual knowledge and exposure to sexual intercourse.


The use of anatomically detailed dolls in child sexual abuse investigations has raised several controversial issues related to important theoretical questions in developmental psychology. The present study was designed to examine some of these issues in a methodologically sound experiment. 80 3- and 5-year-old children experienced a social interaction with a male confederate and were later tested under 1 of 4 recall conditions: reenactment with anatomically detailed dolls, reenactment with regular dolls, free recall with visual cues, or free recall without visual cues. The children were also asked a variety of specific and misleading questions, some of them dealing with acts associated with abuse ("He took your clothes off, didn't he?"). Both anatomically detailed and regular dolls along with other props aided 5-year-olds more than 3-year-olds in recounting the event. To use increased rather than decreased age differences. Anatomically detailed dolls did not foster false reports of abuse. Overall, 3-year-olds were more suggestible than 5-year-olds. The findings have implications for children's testimony in child abuse cases and for psychological theories concerning the effects of stimulus support on children's memory.

Sexually anatomically correct dolls are often used to verify or refute allegations of sexual abuse in young children. As a test of their effectiveness in facilitating decisions about the abuse status of young children, the authors conducted blind interviews with six abused subjects, five nonclinic controls and four psychiatric controls. The child psychiatrist interviewer followed a standardized protocol and was able to correctly categorize 33% of the abused and 67% of the nonabused children. Proper classification was 53% for the sample using this protocol. The authors' preliminary conclusion is that, without other information available to the interviewer, sexually anatomically correct dolls are a poor source of information to decide the abuse status of a young child. The authors recommend that professionals should be cautious when basing decisions on a single instrument, such as sexually anatomically correct dolls. Mental health professionals are encouraged to maintain quality standards in evaluation of children by conducting a comprehensive examination in child sexual abuse cases.


The purpose of this study was to examine the developmental sequence of body part identification in very young children, 11 to 25 months of age. In the first part of the study, 113 children, divided into five age groups (12-month-olds, 15-month-olds, 18-month-olds, 21-month-olds, and 24-month-olds), were asked to point to 20 body parts on a doll. The results indicated a positive correlation between number of parts correctly identified and increasing age. No sex differences or Sex X Age interactions were found. In the second part of the study, the difference between pointing to body parts on the self and pointing to body parts on a doll was examined in two groups of 2-year-olds. The results indicated no significant difference between the ability to point to body parts on a doll and the ability to point to body parts on the self. Factors that may contribute to the development of body part identification in 1- to 2-year-olds and the sequence in which body parts are learned are discussed. The results help provide diagnostic criteria for children with suspected delays in cognitive, language, or body scheme development.

Groups of 16 girls referred for evaluation and treatment of sexual abuse and 16 nonreferred girls between the ages of 5 and 8 years were compared on aggression, avoidance, private parts reference, and freeplay over two conditions: playing alone with anatomically correct dolls and telling a story about the dolls to an adult. Results indicated that sexually abused children displayed more sexually oriented behavior when alone but more avoidance of the dolls when interacting with an adult.


Ninety-one children aged 3–6 yrs were observed and video-recorded playing with the anatomically correct dolls in unstructured play settings. Parental permission had been obtained. The children's emotional, behavioural and overall play responses were rated. Whilst the dolls’ difference from other dolls was dearly noticed, they did not traumatize the children, most of whom incorporated the dolls in imaginative play. Only five children's play with the dolls showed any sexualized quality, in three the source of sexual knowledge becoming apparent. Whereas the absence of sexualized play does not reliably exclude abuse, we suggest that explicit sexual play with the dolls may well arise from previous exposure: to explicit sexual information or activity.


To determine the value of using anatomically correct dolls in diagnostic interviews of young children suspected of being sexually abused, the records of 83 children who were less than 7 years of age and who were evaluated at Yale-New Haven Hospital because of a suspicion of sexual abuse were reviewed. The dolls were used in 60 cases (72%). When the dolls were used, children provided significantly more information than by interview alone about what had happened and about the identity of the suspected perpetrator. Children less than 3 years of age, however, were unable to provide details about the abuse despite the use of the dolls. The ratings of the likelihood
that sexual abuse had occurred were based on all of the information in the case including that
taken through the diagnostic interview with the dolls. When these ratings were compared with
the ratings based on evidence obtained solely from noninterview data, the likelihood of abuse was
higher in 35% of the cases. It was concluded that substantially more information is provided by
young children when anatomically correct dolls are used and that the likelihood of detection of
abuse is increased when information from the child is included in the assessment.


Sexually abused children are often said to use idiosyncratic terminology when referring to sexual
body parts. Anatomic dolls are often used in sexual abuse investigations, especially of younger
children, with both their behavioral and verbal responses used to draw conclusions about the
likelihood of sexual abuse. However, there is little information available about the responses of
nonabused children to these dolls. This study characterizes the terms nonreferred children use to
label sexual body parts of anatomic dolls. The study involved 144 children ages 3 through 8 years
who were asked for their names for specific body parts including anus, breast, buttock, penis,
scrotum, and vagina. Responses for breast, buttock, and penis were more precise than for other
body parts. More than half the respondents did not have labels for anus and scrotum. The "age"
and "gender" of the dolls had little effect on the children's responses. Older children had more
accurate terminology than younger children for sexually related body parts except for penis and
anus. For the most part, the gender of the child or the interviewer had little influence on responses.


A total of 295 child protection workers, law enforcement officers, mental health practitioners, and
physicians were surveyed to ascertain their uses of the anatomical dolls in child sexual abuse
evaluations and their interpretations of young children's interactions with the dolls. The dolls enjoy fairly wide use among all four professional groups although most professionals employing the dolls have had little specific training in their use. Law enforcement officers were significantly less likely than the other professionals to view children's demonstrations of sexual acts with the dolls as convincing evidence of sexual abuse. There was no behavior (e.g., undressing the dolls, touching the dolls' genitals) which all professional groups unanimously agreed would be normal play behavior by young children ages 2-5, who had not been sexually abused. Results highlight the need for training resources and normative research.


The anatomical dolls have emerged as a promising, but controversial tool in child sexual abuse investigations to assist young children in describing what has happened to them. However, research on issues of doll usage by professionals, structuring the doll interviews and interpreting children's responses to the dolls has been lacking. The Anatomical Doll Project was designed to address these issues and data from three phases of the Project are presented in this paper. Phase I is a study of various professionals, interpretations of young children's interactions with the dolls. In Phase II interviewing guidelines were developed. Finally, a normative study of 209 preschool-aged children who have not been referred for sexual abuse was conducted in Phase III to examine curiosity and play behaviors with the dolls.


Such dolls can be used in investigative interviews, case evaluation, and during courtroom testimony. The use of dolls can aid in establishing rapport and reducing stress for the victim, establishing competency, reducing vocabulary problems, and showing what may be difficult to discuss for the child. Some critics have suggested that anatomical dolls may suggest abuse and sexual impropriety, can be used in ways contrary to accepted protocol, and may appear bizarre and frightening to children. When planning the interview, the interviewer should take into account the
age of the child, whether or not there have been earlier interviews, and the results of those interviews. When using dolls, the interviewer should inspect them, read accompanying manuals, and ascertain that the dolls are appropriate in appearance and scale. Used appropriately, anatomical dolls can be an effective way of helping children explain what happened to them and determining the child's sexual vocabulary. Used improperly, their use can block communication, inhibit a proper case filing decision, and cause case problems related to charges of coaching the child witness.


Normal children, aged 3-8, were observed during free play with anatomical dolls under three conditions: with an adult present, without an adult, and with the dolls undressed. In contrast to clinical observation of abused children, the doll play of the normal children was not characterized by aggression or sexual concerns.


Notes that the use of anatomical dolls in child sexual abuse investigations is being challenged as a psychological technique as well as on legal grounds. It is noted that courts have challenged using dolls as a diagnosing technique and equating doll interviews with psychological tests.

The use of anatomical dolls by investigators of suspected child sexual abuse has become a hotly debated issue among mental health and legal professionals. A review of clinical practices and of research findings is presented, which may be helpful informing opinions about the utility of the dolls in such evaluations.


Two decisions by the California Supreme Court of Appeal in the spring of 1987 have made it difficult to admit evidence based on anatomically correct doll interviews with children. An earlier court ruling (*People v. Shirley*) had implied that the Kelly-Frye rule on the admissibility of evidence (*Frye v. United States, 1923: People v. Kelly, 1976*) would extend from physical to include psychological evidence. In its reversal of lower court decisions (*In re Amber B. and Teela B. and In re Christine C. and Michael C.* ) to accept testimony based on children's play with anatomically correct dolls, the California Supreme Court concluded that use of the dolls constitutes a new scientific method of proof and is admissible in court only if it has been accepted as generally reliable in the scientific community. In following the debate, two expert child and adolescent psychiatrists argue this issue of scientific reliability.


Two decisions by the California Supreme Court of Appeal in the spring of 1987 have made it difficult to admit evidence based on anatomically correct doll interviews with children. Here, Dr. Yates and Dr. Terr continue their discussion of the arguments raised in the March 1988 Debate Forum.

The behaviors of two groups of children were observed and recorded in their play with anatomically correct dolls. One group had been determined to have been sexually abused and the other group had not been determined to have been sexually abused. The findings show that significantly more children who had been sexually abused demonstrated sexual behavior(s) with the anatomically correct dolls than did the group of children who had not been sexually abused. These findings suggest that anatomically correct dolls are a useful instrument in sexual abuse investigations.


Two groups of children were interviewed with a structured format to elicit their responses to sexually anatomically correct dolls. Significant differences were found between the responses of children who had not been referred for suspected sexual abuse and those who had. Nonreferred children \((n = 25)\) revealed very few behaviors indicative of abuse whereas referred children \((n = 25)\) demonstrated significantly more sexually related behaviors when presented with the dolls. Of the age groups studied (2–6 years), 3 year olds were the most responsive to the dolls, while older children tended either to reveal their experiences or to become very nonresponsive. The authors argue for the use of structured interview techniques with use of the anatomical dolls and the collection of normative comparison data relative to the evaluation of suspected sexual abuse.
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Drawing is commonly used in forensic and clinical interviews with children. In these interviews, children are often allowed to draw without specific instructions about the purpose of the drawing materials. Here, we examined whether this practice influenced the accuracy of children’s reports. Seventy-four 5- and 6-year-old children were interviewed one to two days after they took part in an interactive event. Some children were given drawing materials to use during the interview. Of these children, some were instructed to draw about the event, and some were given no additional instructions at all. Children who were instructed to draw about the event, or who were interviewed without drawing, made few errors. In contrast, children who drew without being given specific instructions reported more errors that were associated with both confabulation and fantasy. We conclude that, to maximise accuracy during interviews involving drawing, children should be directed to draw specifically about the interview topic.


In the present experiment, we were interested in the effects of drawings and practice on children’s memory performance. Younger (6/7-year-olds; n = 37) and older (11/12-year-olds; n = 44) children were presented with two videos that differed in complexity. Half of the children had to practice recalling an experienced event (i.e., last holiday) before remembering the two videos. The other half was not presented with such practice. Then, all children had to tell what they could still recollect about the first video. For the second video, all children were allowed to draw and tell during the recollection of the event. As expected, we found that for the complex video, making a drawing increased the completeness of children’s statements, but also reduced the accuracy of their statements. Although we found that including practice reduced the completeness of statements, it did not negatively impact the accuracy of children’s memory reports. Taken together, our results
imply that interviewers should be cautious in using drawings as an interviewing method as it might elevate the production of incorrect information.


The aim of the current paper is to explore the ways in which drawings facilitate children's narratives in investigative interviews regarding alleged sexual abuse. Although children often lack appropriate words or the ability to language they can use for emotional expression. The use of three case studies and an analysis of children's narratives before, during and after drawing facilitated an assessment of the way in which drawing aided children's retrieval process. The discussion presents the contribution of using drawings when interviewing children about experiences of trauma. © 2013 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.


Two experiments examined the effectiveness of non-verbal interview aids as means of increasing the amount of information children report about an event under conditions designed to mimic their use in the field. In the first study, 27 5–7-year-old children took part in an event, and 7–10 days later were interviewed using the National Institute of Child Health and Human Development Protocol interview followed by an opportunity to draw the event or complete puzzles and, in turn, a second verbal interview. New information was reported following both drawing and puzzles and accuracy declined in both conditions, but drawing did not differentially influence recall. In the second experiment, dolls or human figure diagrams were introduced to clarify children's (N = 53) reports of touch as recommended in by some professionals, with a verbal interview serving as a control. Props did not increase the amount of information reported compared with best practice verbal techniques, but nor did they elevate errors. The findings support the use of a second recall attempt, but do not support the use of non-verbal aids, even when these are used following professional recommendations. Copyright © 2011 John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

There has been supportive evidence of drawing facilitating young children’s event recall. The present study investigated whether additional event details are recalled if the interviewer uses interactive questions in response to information children have spontaneously drawn or verbally reported. Eighty 5- to 6-year-olds were shown a video clip of a novel event and were interviewed the following day. The children were randomly allocated to one of four recall conditions: tell-only, draw-and-tell, interactive draw-and-tell and interactive tell-only. The children’s verbal reports were transcribed and scored on four different categories of recall: items (objects and people), actions, colours and sayings. The interactive draw-and-tell group recalled more correct information for items compared to the other three recall groups, without any accompanying increase in errors. We propose that drawing increases the opportunity for the interviewer to ask interactive questions, which in turn facilitates children’s accurate recall of item information. Copyright © 2010 John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.


Although it is well-established that drawing about an event increases the amount of verbal information that young children provide during an interview, it is unclear whether drawing continues to facilitate children's reports as they get older. In the present experiment, 90 children, ranging from 5- to 12-years old, were asked to draw and tell or to just tell about emotional events they had experienced. Children of all ages reported more information when asked to draw and tell rather than to tell only. Drawing had no negative effect on the accuracy of children's accounts. Drawing also increased the number of open-ended questions and minimal responses that interviewers used. We conclude that drawing may be a useful tool in clinical and forensic settings with children of all ages; it increases the amount of information that children report and the number of appropriate questions that interviewers ask. Copyright © 2009 John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

This study was designed to explore the effects of event drawing during investigative interviews on the richness of the accounts made by children. The sample included 125 children aged 4 to 14 years, alleged victims of sexual abuse. The children were first interviewed with open-ended invitations before they were randomly assigned into one of two interview conditions: with (n = 69) or without (n = 56) event drawing, and then reinterviewed. Children in the drawing group disclosed more free recall information about the abusive events than children in the comparison group, including central details about people, actions, time, and location of the incidents. The effect of drawing was evident regardless of child’s age, gender, type of abuse, and time delay. These findings suggest that event drawing, as used in this study, can enhance children’s forensic statements in child abuse investigations.


This study examined the influence of expressive vocabulary and temperament on children's verbal reports about emotionally laden events in different interview conditions. In one of three conditions, 58 children aged between 5 and 7 years were interviewed about a time they had felt happy and a time they had felt scared. The interview conditions were: drawing, in which they were asked to draw and tell; re-enactment, in which they were asked to re-enact and tell; and verbal, in which they were simply asked to tell. The principal finding was that, whereas for children in the verbal interview condition expressive vocabulary was associated with the amount of information reported and for children in the re-enactment condition, temperament had a moderate association with the amount reported, for children in the drawing interview condition, neither temperament nor expressive language was associated with the amount of information reported. Children in the drawing condition reported more information than those in the other two interview conditions. The
possible mechanisms underlying these findings and their implications for interviewing children in clinical contexts are discussed. Copyright © 2002 John Wiley & Sons, Ltd


This study examined the effectiveness of drawing and re-enactment as means of facilitating children's verbal reports about emotionally laden events. Sixty children, aged 5 and 8 years, were interviewed about times when they had felt happy, sad and scared in one of three interview conditions; drawing, in which they were asked to draw and tell, re-enactment, in which they were asked to re-enact and tell, or verbal, in which they were simply asked to tell. For children of both age groups, drawing and re-enactment enhanced the amount of information reported relative to a verbal interview. Further, drawing and re-enactment elicited a greater number of items of descriptive information than did the verbal interview. The possible mechanisms underlying these findings and their implications for interviewing children in clinical contexts are discussed. Copyright © 2001 John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.


Examined the effects of drawing true and false reminders about a previously experienced magic show on 3- to 6-year-olds' suggestibility and source monitoring ability. Found that children who
had drawn the reminders had better recall of reminders and better source memory than children who had only answered questions about them. Both groups reported equally that false reminders actually happened.


In 2 experiments, the authors examined the effect of drawing on young children's verbal reports of their emotional experiences. Children were either asked to draw and tell or to just tell about a time when they felt happy, sad, scared, or angry. Children given the opportunity to draw and tell reported more than twice as much information as children asked to tell only. Furthermore, the increase in information reported did not occur at the expense of accuracy. These findings suggest that drawing may facilitate young children's ability to talk about their emotional experiences in both clinical and legal contexts. In addition, developmental changes in drawing skill per se may define the conditions under which drawing will be most effective.


This study was designed to explore the effects of event drawing during investigative interviews on the richness of the accounts made by children. The sample included 125 children aged 4 to 14 years, alleged victims of sexual abuse. The children were first interviewed with open-ended invitations before they were randomly assigned into one of two interview conditions: with (n = 69) or without (n = 56) event drawing, and then reinterviewed. Children in the drawing group disclosed more free recall information about the abusive events than children in the comparison group, including central details about people, actions, time, and location of the incidents. The effect of drawing was evident regardless of child’s age, gender, type of abuse, and time delay. These findings suggest that event drawing, as used in this study, can enhance children’s forensic statements in child abuse investigations.


Reports three experiments which investigated the ability of children aged four to nine years to organize body-location information in recall. Attempted to correct for methodological confounding in previous similar research.
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There is a long-held assumption that objects help bridge the gap between what children know and what they can (or are willing to) explain. In this review, we present research on the extent to which two types of objects used as props in investigative interviews of children, anatomical dolls and body (human figure) diagrams, actually help children report accurate information about autobiographical events. We explain why available research does not instill confidence that props are the best solution to interviewing challenges, and we consider practitioners’ and policy-makers responses to this evidence. Finally, we discuss the types of developmental research that are necessary to advance the field of evidence-based interviewing of children.


The belief that props help children report abuse has fostered the widespread use of anatomical dolls and body diagrams in forensic interviews. Yet studies involving alleged abuse victims, children who have experienced medical examinations, and children who have participated in staged events have failed to find consistent evidence that props improve young children’s ability to report key information related to bodily contact. Because props elevate the risk of erroneous touch reports, interviewers need to reconsider the belief that props are developmentally appropriate in forensic interviews, and researchers need to explore new approaches for eliciting disclosures of inappropriate touching.

We examined the effect of photographs on children's memories for events that did and did not happen. Over three interviews, 10-year olds saw three true photos and one false photo. Half the children saw a doctored photo of themselves and other family members in a hot air balloon, while the remaining half saw only the hot air balloon. At each interview, children reported what they could remember about each event, rated their confidence that the events really happened and rated how much they could remember. Children who saw the photo showing themselves in the balloon developed more false memories than those who saw only the balloon, but when children in either condition developed false memories, they were equally confident that the event was real. These data highlight a potential problem with the use of photographs as tools in therapy. Copyright © 2007 John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.


In this article, we provide an introduction to child eyewitness memory issues that are frequently discussed and debated, both within the research and practice communities. We review several of the central areas of research on child eyewitness memory and some of the most promising protocols aimed at standardizing and improving child forensic interviews. We focus primarily on memory in young children, because they pose particular challenges. Research on the use of props and external cues to prompt young children’s memory is discussed. We also review research on professionals’ knowledge and attitudes about children as witnesses. It is concluded that we must guard against overly negative or overly optimistic views of children’s abilities.

This study investigated the effects of prior experience with props used during an interview on young children’s recall of an event. In a one-way design, we interviewed 4-year-old children 1 to 2 days after they participated in a staged event. One group of children played with toy replicas of items from the event prior to an interview with the toy props. Another group matched toy replicas to real items from the event prior to an interview with the toy props. A third group coloured before an interview with the toy props, and a fourth coloured before an interview with the real items. Finally, a fifth group coloured before an interview with no props, only verbal cues about the items that had been present. Results indicated that the condition in which children played with toy props prior to the interview had the lowest verbal accuracy during the interview. Children who saw toys for the first time during the interview behaviourally enacted the highest volume of correct information about the event. Implications for interviewing children are discussed. Copyright © 2004 John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.


We investigated the conditions under which preparatory information presented 1 day before a novel event influenced 6-year-olds’ recall 1 week later. Children were assigned to one of six experimental conditions. Three conditions involved preparatory information that described the event accurately but differed according to the presence and type of props (verbal, real props, and toy props). In two conditions, which also differed according to whether verbal information was supplemented with real props, half of the preparatory information described the event accurately, whereas the other half was thematically similar to, but inconsistent with, the event (misleading verbal and misleading props). Compared with the attentional control condition, all forms of preparation that described the event accurately increased correct recall. Preparation that included props improved photograph recognition. When half of the accurate information was replaced by misleading information, the positive benefit on recall was reduced, and when misleading props
accompanied the misleading information, errors increased. The potential underlying mechanisms and implications for pediatric settings are discussed. © 2007 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.


We compared the influence on preschoolers’ event recall of photograph reminders presented at different points between the event and the interview. Seventy-seven children aged 3.5–4.5 years participated in a quasi-medical event and were interviewed 10 weeks later. The children were allocated to one of three reminder conditions: early reminder (3 or 4 days after the event); late reminder (24 hours before the interview); and reminder-at-interview (at the beginning of the interview). There were two control groups: no reminder and reminder only (children who did not take part in the event but received the reminder at the beginning of the interview). The photograph reminder did not facilitate recall relative to no reminder. Nonetheless, the event reports of children in the late reminder condition contained a greater proportion of information consistent with the event than of those in the no-reminder and reminder-at-interview conditions, and a strong trend toward reporting more event-consistent information than of those in the early reminder condition. Notably, children in the reminder-only condition reported moderate amounts of information consistent with the event, including event-consistent information that was not present in the reminder photographs. A photograph reminder presented 24 hours before the interview enhances children’s recall to a greater extent than reminders presented at other points. Photographs may not be optimal reminders for preschoolers, however. The impact of reminders may be masked when children have scripted knowledge relevant to the event.

Until recently nonverbal props received little experimental attention in spite of the wide use of props such as toys and drawing in child clinical contexts. This article reviews research investigating the effectiveness of props as means of facilitating children's recall and reporting of past events. In the first section, developmental and theoretical considerations influencing effectiveness of various kinds of props as aids to the retrieval and communication of information are outlined. Thereafter, findings of empirical research are reviewed for real props from the event, toys including dolls, drawing, context reinstatement, and photographs. Research findings suggest that a range of factors influence the extent to which props facilitate children's reports of past events, including specificity of the information provided by the prop, the way the prop is presented during the interview, delay between the event and interview and, critical to these factors, the age of the child. Areas requiring future theoretical and research attention are identified.


This study examined the effectiveness of drawing and re-enactment as means of facilitating children's verbal reports about emotionally laden events. Sixty children, aged 5 and 8 years, were interviewed about times when they had felt happy, sad and scared in one of three interview conditions; drawing, in which they were asked to draw and tell, re-enactment, in which they were asked to re-enact and tell, or verbal, in which they were simply asked to tell. For children of both age groups, drawing and re-enactment enhanced the amount of information reported relative to a verbal interview. Further, drawing and re-enactment elicited a greater number of items of descriptive information than did the verbal interview. The possible mechanisms underlying these findings and their implications for interviewing children in clinical contexts are discussed. Copyright © 2001 John Wiley & Sons, Ltd

One hundred and one 5-year-old children were interviewed about a routine health assessment carried out at school following delays of both 3 days and 1 year or 1 year only. Children were interviewed with prototypical medical items and a doll (*props*), with verbal prompts only (*verbal*), or with drawing (*drawing*). There was a decrease in both the amount and the accuracy of the information children reported over the 1-year delay, but no effect of the prior (3-day) interview. Children interviewed with props recalled more information than those asked to draw or interviewed with verbal prompts only, particularly at the long delay. Correct information was more likely to be repeated across interviews than were errors, and, whereas information repeated across interviews was highly reliable, information introduced for the first time after 1 year was not, particularly when children drew. These findings have important implications in applied contexts such as when children are called upon to provide testimony following very long delays. Copyright © 2000 John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.


The aim of this study was to evaluate photographs as an alternative type of retrieval aid suitable for pre-school children. Fifty-seven children (age 3;7–6;8 years) participated in a fishing game. Ten days later the children were questioned about the situation under three conditions: group 1 was interviewed only with a context-reinstatement instruction. Group 2 additionally received photos relevant to the game as well as distractor items. Group 3 received both of these aids and was trained in the use of photos. In the photo groups more correct details were remembered compared to the control group. Accuracy in both photo groups was also enhanced by props. These results show that multiple-choice photos combined with a reinstate context instruction are an effective retrieval aid for young children. © 1998 John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

The present study examined the conditions under which toys and model items facilitate children's accounts of personally experienced events. In three experiments, 109 five- to six-year-old children were interviewed about an event in which they had participated. Experiment 1 varied the similarity of the props to the items from the event while Experiments 2 and 3 varied the number of model items and the method of their presentation. Results showed that increasing the physical similarity of the props to items from the event, adding spatial layout cues, or increasing the number of props provided enhanced the facilitative effects of props on children's accounts. The implications of these results for interviewing children in clinical and legal contexts are discussed. © 1997 by John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.


Three- and 5-year-old children took part in a quasi-medical event in which the child and an adult stranger examined a "sick" teddy bear. Three days and 1 year after the event, children were interviewed in one of three interview conditions; with real items from the event (real props); with toy representations of those items (toy props); or with verbal prompts (no props). After 3 days, both toys and real items facilitated children's reports compared to verbal prompts, but children interviewed with toy props were less accurate than those interviewed with either real items or verbal prompts. After 1 year, the reports of children interviewed with real items remained more accurate than those of children interviewed with toys, although real items did not differentially protect recall from forgetting compared to either toys or verbal prompts. The report of the older children were as accurate at the 1-year delay as at the 3-day delay, whereas the reports of the younger children were particularly susceptible to errors. Correct information was more likely to be repeated across interviews than were errors. New information introduced for the first time after 1 year was highly unreliable for both age groups, whereas that repeated across interviews was highly reliable.


The authors examined the effects of reinstating objects from an event on 6- and 9-year-old children's reports of the event in which they had either participated or observed. Half of the 95 children were interviewed twice, 10 days and 10 weeks after the event (Group 1), and the remaining children were interviewed a single time, 10 weeks after (Group 2). Following free recall, prompted recall and direct questions were accompanied by objects from the event and distractors for half the children. The effect of the delay on free recall was ameliorated by the prior interview for older but not younger children. Objects attenuated age differences in prompted recall for participants and enhanced accuracy in response to questions. Objects also led to more errors at the long delay. Analyses based on signal detection theory indicated that both response strategy and memory-related factors contributed to developmental changes in compliance with misleading questions.


Compared toys and real items as props for facilitating children's reporting of an event. Indicates that the effects of props depend on the nature of the items and the age of the children with whom they are used. Suggests that real items may provide one means of supporting recall, to enable children to provide their most complete and accurate reports.

Eighty-eight pairs of children were interviewed about a contrived interaction with an adult under one of four conditions: no cues, context cues, relevant cues, and irrelevant cues. Although relevant cues facilitated recall, accuracy did not differ across cue conditions. Younger children were less likely to report an accident they were asked to keep secret than were older children.


This study compared the effectiveness of different techniques for eliciting complete and accurate eyewitness reports from preschool children, with particular interest in the efficacy of props as concrete retrieval cues. Props were model replicas of the setting, characters, and objects depicted in a short video segment simulating an eyewitness event. Thirty-two 4-year-olds were assigned to one of four conditions: free recall, free recall with props, questioning, or questioning with props. Use of props increased the quantity of responses for both free recall and questioning, but led to more inaccuracy under the free recall condition. This adverse effect was more evident for memory of actions than for descriptions or dialogue. The study provides pilot data for future research examining the reliability of accounts from very young children and offers some advice to practitioners working with very young witnesses.